WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.		
Rose	endo Arizmendi-Basopoli	Case Number:	13-7001m
was present a	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 and was represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending tri	e by a preponderance of the evide	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	17.01	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing fro	m law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	years imp	risonment.
The C Court at the tir	Court incorporates by reference the materia me of the hearing in this matter, except as	al findings of the Pretrial Services noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the
	CON	ICLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition		earance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTION	S REGARDING DETENTION	
in a correction pending appea order of a cou	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable al. The defendant shall be afforded a rease of the United States or on request of an eliver the defendant to the United States MAPPEALS A	e, from persons awaiting or servin conable opportunity for private con attorney for the Government, the	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections
to deliver a co District Court. from the date	ORDERED that should an appeal of this dopy of the motion for review/reconsideration Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., of service of a copy of this order or after the the district court. Failure to timely file ob CRIM.P.	n to Pretrial Services at least one of effective December 1, 2009, Defe ne oral order is stated on the recor	day prior to the hearing set before the endant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written
Pretrial Service	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ses sufficiently in advance of the hearing be investigate the potential third party custod	efore the District Court to allow Pr	
DATE:1/	/30/13	Bridget Brid	get S. Bade